Bounds and inequalities for Littlewood–Richardson coefficients

Damir Yeliussizov (KBTU, Almaty, Kazakhstan)

Asymptotic Algebraic Combinatorics, IPAM Feb 3-7, 2020

Joint with Igor Pak and Greta Panova

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

▲□ > ▲□ > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲□ > ▲□ >

LR coefficients $c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$

e.g. via Schur polynomials
$$s_{\lambda}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := \det[x_i^{\lambda_j + n - j}] / \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)$$

$$s_{\mu} \cdot s_{
u} = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\mu
u}^{\lambda} s_{\lambda} \qquad |\lambda| = |\mu| + |
u|$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Many interpretations: combinatorial, geometric, representation-theoretic.

LR coefficients $c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$

e.g. via Schur polynomials
$$s_{\lambda}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := \det[x_i^{\lambda_j + n - j}] / \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)$$

$$s_{\mu} \cdot s_{
u} = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\mu
u}^{\lambda} s_{\lambda} \qquad |\lambda| = |\mu| + |
u|$$

Many interpretations: combinatorial, geometric, representation-theoretic.

We're interested in large
$$c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Dimensions

 $f^\lambda=\dim\mathbb{S}^\lambda=\#\mathsf{SYT}$ shape λ i.e. chains $\varnothing\to\lambda$ in Young's lattice

 $= \frac{n!}{\prod_{\square \in \lambda} hook_{\square}} \quad (hook-length formula)$

$$f^{321} = \frac{6!}{1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5} = 8$$

1	3	6
2	4	
5		

(vague) meta message



・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

$$C(n,k) = \max_{\lambda \vdash n} \max_{\mu \vdash k} c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \qquad C(n) = \max_{k} C(n,k)$$
$$D(n) = \max_{\lambda \vdash n} f^{\lambda}$$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

$$C(n,k) = \max_{\lambda \vdash n} \max_{\mu \vdash k} c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \qquad C(n) = \max_{k} C(n,k)$$
$$D(n) = \max_{\lambda \vdash n} f^{\lambda}$$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
D (k)	1	1	2	3	6	16	35	90	216	768	2310

$$C(n,k) = \max_{\lambda \vdash n} \sum_{\mu \vdash k} c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \qquad C(n) = \max_{k} C(n,k)$$
$$D(n) = \max_{\lambda \vdash n} f^{\lambda}$$
$$\frac{k}{D(k)} \frac{1}{1} \frac{2}{2} \frac{3}{3} \frac{4}{6} \frac{5}{16} \frac{6}{35} \frac{7}{90} \frac{9}{216} \frac{10}{768} \frac{11}{2310}$$
$$C(23,k) = 1 \frac{10}{2} \frac{10}{36} \frac{11}{23} \frac{10}{6} \frac{11}{16} \frac{11}{25} \frac{10}{25} \frac{10}{25} \frac{11}{25} \frac{10}{25} \frac{10}{25} \frac{11}{25} \frac{10}{25} \frac{10}{25} \frac{10}{25} \frac{11}{25} \frac{10}{25} \frac{1$$

$$C(n,k) = \max_{\lambda \vdash n} \max_{\mu \vdash k} c^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} \qquad C(n) = \max_{k} C(n,k)$$
$$D(n) = \max_{\lambda \vdash n} f^{\lambda}$$
$$\frac{k \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 7 \quad 8 \quad 9 \quad 10 \quad 11}{D(k) \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 6 \quad 16 \quad 35 \quad 90 \quad 216 \quad 768 \quad 2310}$$
$$C(23,k) \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 6 \quad 16 \quad 35 \quad 90 \quad 216 \quad 768 \quad 2310}$$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

$$C(n,k) = \max_{\lambda \vdash n} \max_{\mu \vdash k} c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \qquad C(n) = \max_{k} C(n,k)$$
$$D(n) = \max_{\lambda \vdash n} f^{\lambda}$$
$$\frac{k \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 7 \quad 8 \quad 9 \quad 10 \quad 11}{D(k) \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 6 \quad 16 \quad 35 \quad 90 \quad 216 \quad 768 \quad 2310}$$
$$C(23,k) \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 6 \quad 16 \quad 20$$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

$$C(n,k) = \max_{\lambda \vdash n} \max_{\mu \vdash k} c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \qquad C(n) = \max_{k} C(n,k)$$
$$D(n) = \max_{\lambda \vdash n} f^{\lambda}$$

k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
D(k)	1	1	2	3	6	16	35	90	216	768	2310
C(23, k)	1	1	2	3	6	16	20	24	19	30	35

$$C(n,k) = \max_{\lambda \vdash n} \sum_{\mu \vdash k} c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \qquad C(n) = \max_{k} C(n,k)$$
$$D(n) = \max_{\lambda \vdash n} f^{\lambda}$$
$$\frac{k}{2(k)} \frac{1}{1} \frac{2}{1} \frac{3}{2} \frac{4}{5} \frac{5}{6} \frac{7}{16} \frac{8}{99} \frac{9}{216} \frac{10}{768} \frac{11}{2310}}{\frac{23}{23} \frac{1}{6} \frac{16}{16} \frac{29}{29} \frac{24}{24} \frac{19}{19} \frac{30}{30} \frac{35}{35}$$

Theorem (Pak–Panova-Y. 2019)

- stability: C(n,k) = D(k) for $n \ge \binom{k+1}{2}$
- ▶ monotonicity: $C(n,k) \le C(n+1,k)$ and $C(n) \le C(n+1)$

quick plan

- 1) asymptotics of D(n)
- 2) asymtotitcs of C(n)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Largest dimensions

(Old) Problem: The asymptotics of D(n)

Bivins-Metropolis-Stein-Wells '54, Baer-Brock '68, McKay '76, Rasala '77

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Largest dimension

Burnside identity:

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (f^{\lambda})^2 = n! \implies \frac{\sqrt{n!}}{p(n)} \le D(n) < \sqrt{n!}$$

 $p(n) \sim \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}}e^{\pi\sqrt{2n/3}} = \#$ partitions of n

early wrong conjectures: $D(n) \ge \sqrt{n!}/poly(n)$

Largest dimension

Burnside identity:

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (f^{\lambda})^2 = n! \implies \frac{\sqrt{n!}}{p(n)} \le D(n) < \sqrt{n!}$$

 $p(n) \sim \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}}e^{\pi\sqrt{2n/3}} = \#$ partitions of n

early wrong conjectures: $D(n) \ge \sqrt{n!}/poly(n)$

Theorem (Vershik-Kerov 1985)

$$\sqrt{n!} e^{-1.29\sqrt{n}} \le D(n) \le \sqrt{n!} e^{-0.11\sqrt{n}}$$

Largest dimension

Burnside identity:

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (f^{\lambda})^2 = n! \implies \frac{\sqrt{n!}}{p(n)} \le D(n) < \sqrt{n!}$$

 $p(n) \sim \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}}e^{\pi\sqrt{2n/3}} = \#$ partitions of n

early wrong conjectures: $D(n) \ge \sqrt{n!}/poly(n)$

Theorem (Vershik-Kerov 1985)

$$\sqrt{n!} e^{-1.29\sqrt{n}} \le D(n) \le \sqrt{n!} e^{-0.11\sqrt{n}}$$

(Q) What partitions attain max dimensions?

Partitions for largest & typical dimensions

Vershik-Kerov–Logan-Shepp (VKLS) limit shape¹



¹pic from Romik's book; partition sampled from the Plancherel measure $\frac{(f^{\Lambda})^2}{n!} \equiv -9$

Partitions attaining largest dimensions



Partitions sequence $\lambda^{(n)} \vdash n$ is **Plancherel** if

 $f^{\lambda^{(n)}} \geq \sqrt{n!} e^{-c\sqrt{n}}$

Theorem (Logan-Shepp 1977, Vershik-Kerov 1985) Every Plancherel sequence has VKLS limit shape.

note: $f^{\lambda} = \sqrt{n!}e^{o(n)}$ is enough for VKLS shape related: solution to Ulam's problem on *longest increasing subsequences*, $\lambda_1 \sim 2\sqrt{n}$.

Stanley's problem

Theorem (Stanley 2015)

$$C(n) = 2^{n/2 - O(\sqrt{n})}$$

Stanley's problem

Theorem (Stanley 2015)

$$C(n) = 2^{n/2 - O(\sqrt{n})}$$

Theorem (Harris–Willenbring 2014)

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash n, \, \mu, \, \nu} (c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda})^2 = F(n) = \# \text{bicolored partitions of } n$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Stanley's problem

Theorem (Stanley 2015)

$$C(n) = 2^{n/2 - O(\sqrt{n})}$$

Theorem (Harris–Willenbring 2014)

$$\sum_{\lambda\vdash n,\,\mu,\,\nu}(c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda})^2=F(n)=\#\textit{bicolored partitions of }n$$

Problem (Stanley)

What partitions λ, μ, ν attain the maximum?

Max LR

Theorem (Pak-Panova-Y 2019)

$$\binom{n}{k}^{1/2} e^{-d\sqrt{n}} \le C(n,k) \le \binom{n}{k}^{1/2}$$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Max LR

Theorem (Pak-Panova-Y 2019)

$$\binom{n}{k}^{1/2} e^{-d\sqrt{n}} \le C(n,k) \le \binom{n}{k}^{1/2}$$

In fact,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda})^2 &\leq \binom{n}{k} \qquad \sum_{\mu \vdash k, \nu \vdash n-k} (c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda})^2 \leq \binom{n}{k} \\ &\sum_{\lambda \vdash n, \mu \vdash k, \nu \vdash n-k} (c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda})^2 \geq \binom{n}{k} \end{split}$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Theorem (Pak-Panova-Y 2019)

(i) \forall Plancherel $\lambda \vdash n \exists$ Plancherel $\mu \vdash k = n\theta$, $\nu \vdash n(1-\theta)$, $\theta \in (0,1)$:

$$c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = \binom{n}{k}^{1/2} e^{-O(\sqrt{n})}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem (Pak-Panova-Y 2019)

(i) \forall Plancherel $\lambda \vdash n \exists$ Plancherel $\mu \vdash k = n\theta$, $\nu \vdash n(1-\theta)$, $\theta \in (0,1)$:

$$c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = \binom{n}{k}^{1/2} e^{-O(\sqrt{n})}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(ii) \forall Plancherel $\mu, \nu \exists$ Plancherel $\lambda \dots$

Theorem (Pak-Panova-Y 2019)

(i) \forall Plancherel $\lambda \vdash n \exists$ Plancherel $\mu \vdash k = n\theta$, $\nu \vdash n(1-\theta)$, $\theta \in (0,1)$:

$$c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = \binom{n}{k}^{1/2} e^{-O(\sqrt{n})}$$

(ii) \forall Plancherel $\mu, \nu \exists$ Plancherel $\lambda \dots$

(iii) \forall Plancherel $\lambda, \mu \exists \nu$ with VKLS limit shape:

$$f^{\nu} = \sqrt{(n-k)!} e^{-O(n^{2/3}\log n)} \qquad c^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = \binom{n}{k}^{1/2} e^{-O(n^{2/3}\log n)}$$

Theorem (Pak-Panova-Y 2019)

(i) \forall Plancherel $\lambda \vdash n \exists$ Plancherel $\mu \vdash k = n\theta$, $\nu \vdash n(1-\theta)$, $\theta \in (0,1)$:

$$c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = \binom{n}{k}^{1/2} e^{-O(\sqrt{n})}$$

(ii) \forall Plancherel $\mu, \nu \exists$ Plancherel $\lambda \dots$

(iii) \forall Plancherel $\lambda, \mu \exists \nu$ with VKLS limit shape:

$$f^{\nu} = \sqrt{(n-k)!} e^{-O(n^{2/3}\log n)} \qquad c^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = \binom{n}{k}^{1/2} e^{-O(n^{2/3}\log n)}$$

Proof ideas: Estimates from the identities

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} f^{\lambda} = \binom{n}{k} f^{\mu} f^{\nu} \qquad \sum_{\mu \vdash k, \nu \vdash n-k} c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} f^{\mu} f^{\nu} = f^{\lambda}$$

For (iii), skew SYT $f^{\mu/\nu}$ new bounds + properties of VKLS shape.

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆□ → ◆□ →

Large dim doesn't imply large LR

Theorem (Pak-Panova-Y 2019) $\mu, \nu \vdash n/2$ Plancherel $\exists \lambda$ with VKLS limit shape

$$f^{\lambda} = \sqrt{n!} e^{O(\sqrt{n}\log n)}$$
 & $c^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = 0.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Large dim doesn't imply large LR

Theorem (Pak-Panova-Y 2019) $\mu, \nu \vdash n/2$ Plancherel $\exists \lambda$ with VKLS limit shape $f^{\lambda} = \sqrt{n!} e^{O(\sqrt{n} \log n)} \& c^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = 0.$

Conjecture. \exists Plancherel λ, μ, ν

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{n}{2} - \log_2 c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}\right) \to \infty$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Large LR implies (relatively) large dim

Theorem (Pak-Panova-Y 2019) Let $\lambda \vdash n$, $\mu, \nu \vdash n/2$ with

$$c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = {\binom{n}{n/2}}^{1/2} e^{-O(n/\log n)}$$

$$\implies f^{\lambda} = \sqrt{n!} e^{-O(n)}, \quad f^{\mu}, f^{\nu} = \sqrt{(n/2)!} e^{-O(n)}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Large LR implies (relatively) large dim

Theorem (Pak-Panova-Y 2019) Let $\lambda \vdash n$, $\mu, \nu \vdash n/2$ with

$$c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = {\binom{n}{n/2}}^{1/2} e^{-O(n/\log n)}$$

$$\implies f^{\lambda} = \sqrt{n!} e^{-O(n)}, \quad f^{\mu}, f^{\nu} = \sqrt{(n/2)!} e^{-O(n)}$$

Proof ideas:

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda})^{2} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} c_{\alpha\gamma}^{\mu} c_{\alpha\delta}^{\nu} c_{\beta\gamma}^{\nu} c_{\beta\delta}^{\nu} \quad \text{(from skew Cauchy)}$$
$$\max_{\lambda} c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \le e^{a\sqrt{n}} \max_{\alpha, \beta} c_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu} \max_{\alpha, \beta} c_{\alpha\beta}^{\nu} \qquad f^{\lambda} \ge e^{-un} (c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda})^{\log_{2} n}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Max LR with few rows

$$C_{\ell}(n) := \max_{\lambda \vdash n, \ \ell(\lambda) = \ell, \ \mu, \nu} c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$$

Theorem (Pak-Panova-Y. 2019)

$$n^{\ell^2/2-a\ell}e^{-b\ell^2\log\ell} \le C_\ell(n) \le (n+1)^{\ell^2/2}$$

Proof ideas: Knutson-Tao interpretations, Schur polynomials bounds.

Corollary

$$\log C_{\ell}(n) \sim \frac{1}{2}\ell^2 \log n, \qquad \ell = O(\sqrt{n}/\log n)$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Containment of max LR

Theorem (Lam-Postnikov-Pylyavskyy 2007)

$$c_{\mu
u}^\lambda \leq c_{\mu\cup
u,\mu\cap
u}^\lambda$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Containment of max LR

Theorem (Lam-Postnikov-Pylyavskyy 2007)

 $c_{\mu
u}^\lambda \leq c_{\mu\cup
u,\mu\cap
u}^\lambda$

Corollary

$$\forall n \quad \exists \ \mu \subseteq \nu \subseteq \lambda \quad c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = C(n)$$

Containment of max LR

Theorem (Lam-Postnikov-Pylyavskyy 2007)

$$c_{\mu
u}^\lambda \leq c_{\mu\cup
u,\mu\cap
u}^\lambda$$

Corollary

$$\forall n \quad \exists \ \mu \subseteq \nu \subseteq \lambda \quad c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = C(n)$$

Conjecture [PPY]

$$c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = C(n) \implies \mu \subseteq \nu \subseteq \lambda$$

Remark: C(n, k) for k = 1, ..., n is symmetric but *not* unimodal, otherwise $\mu = v$

•
$$C(n) < 2^{n/2}e^{-a\sqrt{n}}$$
 or even $C(n, \theta n) < {n \choose \theta n}e^{-a\sqrt{n}}$

$$C(20,7) = 11 < \sqrt{\binom{20}{7}} \approx 278.42$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

•
$$C(n) < 2^{n/2}e^{-a\sqrt{n}}$$
 or even $C(n, \theta n) < {n \choose \theta n}e^{-a\sqrt{n}}$

$$C(20,7) = 11 < \sqrt{\binom{20}{7}} \approx 278.42$$

•
$$c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = C(n) \implies \lambda, \mu, \nu$$
 have VKLS limit shape

•
$$C(n) < 2^{n/2}e^{-a\sqrt{n}}$$
 or even $C(n, \theta n) < {n \choose \theta n}e^{-a\sqrt{n}}$

$$C(20,7) = 11 < \sqrt{\binom{20}{7}} \approx 278.42$$

• $c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = C(n) \implies \lambda, \mu, \nu$ have VKLS limit shape

• stronger version: $\lambda \vdash n$, $\mu, \nu \vdash n/2$

$$f^{\lambda}/\sqrt{n!} \ge a \left(c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}/\binom{n}{n/2}^{1/2}
ight)^{b \log n}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

•
$$C(n) < 2^{n/2}e^{-a\sqrt{n}}$$
 or even $C(n, \theta n) < {n \choose \theta n}e^{-a\sqrt{n}}$

$$C(20,7) = 11 < \sqrt{\binom{20}{7}} \approx 278.42$$

•
$$c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = C(n) \implies \lambda, \mu, \nu$$
 have VKLS limit shape

• stronger version: $\lambda \vdash n$, $\mu, \nu \vdash n/2$

$$f^{\lambda}/\sqrt{n!} \ge a \left(c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}/\binom{n}{n/2}^{1/2}
ight)^{b \log n}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

LR bounds for other limit shapes

Rahmet!

Thank you!

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>